	Case 2:24-cv-02852-DAD-CKD Docume	nt 7 Filed 03/11/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LOUIS THOMPSON,	No. 2:24-cv-02852 I	OAD CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS and	
14	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	<u>ORDER</u>	
15			
16	Defendant.		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. This proceeding was		
18	referred to this court by Local Rule 302(c)(21).		
19	On February 6, 2025, the undersigned issued an order to show cause why this action		
20	should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised that failure to allege a		
21	proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.		
22	Plaintiff has filed a response, to the order to show cause, but it does not allege a proper basis for		
23	federal jurisdiction.		
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of		
25	jurisdiction.		
26	In light of these recommendations, IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that all pleading,		
27	discovery, and motion practice in this action are STAYED pending resolution of the findings and		
28	recommendations. With the exception of objections to the findings and recommendations and		

	Case 2:24-cv-02852-DAD-CKD Document 7 Filed 03/11/25 Page 2 of 2		
1	any non-frivolous motions for emergency relief, the court will not entertain or respond to any		
2	motions and other filings until the findings and recommendations are resolved.		
3	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
4	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
5	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
6	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections		
7	to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file		
8	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.		
9	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
10	Dated: March 11, 2025 Carop U. Delany		
11	CAROLYN K. DELANEY		
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16	2/thom2852.f&rs-nojuris		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		